



UNIVERSITY  
OF TASMANIA

## **Longitudinal profiling of Mild Cognitive Impairment subtypes**

By Shannon Z. Klekociuk

BPsych (Hons.), B.P.A

School of Psychology

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

University of Tasmania, October 2013

### **Declaration of Originality**

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for a degree or diploma by the University or any other institution, except by way of background information and duly acknowledged in this thesis, and to the best of my knowledge and belief no material previously published or written by another person except where due acknowledgement is made in the text of the thesis, nor does the thesis contain any material that infringes copyright.

Signed.....

Date.....

### **Statement of Authority of Access**

This thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying and communication in accordance with the copyright Act 1968

Signed.....

Date.....

**Statement regarding published work contained in this theses**

The publishers of the papers comprising Chapters 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 hold the copyright for that content, and access to the material should be sought from the respective journals. The remaining non-published content of the thesis may be made available for loan and limited copying and communication in accordance with the Copyright Act 1968.

Signed.....

Date.....

## Statement of Co-authorship

The following people and institutions contributed to the publication of work undertaken as part of this thesis:

*Name and School* = **Shannon Klekociuk, School of Psychology**

*Name and institution* = **Dr Mathew J. Summers, School of Psychology (UTAS) & Wicking Dementia Research and Education Centre, School of Medicine (UTAS)**

*Name and institution* = **Prof. Jeff Summers, School of Psychology (UTAS) & Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom.**

*Name and institution* = **Prof. James C. Vickers, Wicking Dementia Research and Education Centre, School of Medicine (UTAS)**

### **Author details and their roles:**

**Paper 1, The self-fulfilling prophecy of episodic memory impairment in mild cognitive impairment (MCI): Do episodic memory deficits identified at classification remain evident when later examined with different memory tests?**

Located in chapter 4

Candidate was the primary author (70%) and with author 2 contributed to the idea, its formalisation and development, refinement and presentation (30%)

**Paper 2, Lowered performance in working memory and attentional sub-processes are most prominent in multi-domain amnesic mild cognitive impairment subtypes**

Located in chapter 5

Candidate was the primary author (70%) and with author 2 contributed to the idea, its formalisation and development, refinement and presentation (30%)

**Paper 3, Exploring the validity of Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) subtypes: Multiple-domain amnesic MCI is the only identifiable subtype at longitudinal follow up**

Located in chapter 6

Candidate was the primary author (70%) and with author 2 contributed to the idea, its formalisation and development, refinement and presentation (30%)

**Paper 4, The learning profile of persistent Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI): A potential diagnostic marker of persistent amnesic MCI**

Located in chapter 7

Candidate was the primary author (70%) and with author 2 contributed to the idea, its formalisation and development, refinement and presentation (30%)

**Paper 5, Reducing false positive MCI diagnoses with neuropsychological assessment**

Located in chapter 8

Candidate was the primary author (60%) and with author 2 contributed to the idea, its formalisation and development, refinement and presentation (30%)

Author 3 (5%) and 4 (5%) assisted with refinement and presentation

We the undersigned agree with the above stated “proportion of work undertaken” for each of the above published (or submitted) peer-reviewed manuscripts contributing to this thesis:

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*Dr Mathew Summers*  
*Supervisor*  
*School Of Medicine*  
*University of Tasmania*

\_\_\_\_\_

*Prof. Jenn Scott*  
*Head of Discipline (Psychology)*  
*School of Medicine*  
*University of Tasmania*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Statement of Ethical Conduct**

The research associated with this thesis abides by the international and Australian codes on human and animal experimentation, the guidelines by the Australian Government’s Office of the Gene Technology Regulator, and the rulings of the Safety, Ethics and Institutional Biosafety Committees of the University.

Signed.....

Date.....

## Publications from thesis

### *Peer reviewed articles*

Klekociuk, S.Z. & Summers, M.J. (2013). The self-fulfilling prophecy of episodic memory impairment in mild cognitive impairment (MCI): Do episodic memory deficits identified at classification remain evident when later examined with different memory tests? *Neurology Research International*. doi: 10.1155/2013/437013.

Klekociuk, S.Z. & Summers, M.J. (2014a). Prominent working memory and attention dysfunction in multi-domain amnesic MCI. *Psychogeriatrics*, 63-71.  
doi:10.1111/psyg.12042

Klekociuk, S.Z. & Summers, M.J. (2014b). Exploring the validity of Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) subtypes: Multi-domain amnesic MCI is the only identifiable subtype at follow up. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 1-12.  
doi:10.1080/13803395.2014.890699

Klekociuk, S.Z. & Summers, M.J. (2014c). The learning profile of persistent mild cognitive impairment (MCI). A potential diagnostic marker of persistent amnesic MCI. *European Journal of Neurology*, 470-477. doi:10.1111/ene.12333.



*Papers currently accepted for publication pending revisions:*

Klekociuk, S.Z., Summers, J.J., Vickers, J.C. & Summers, M.J. (under review). Reducing false positive MCI diagnose with neuropsychological assessment. (EJoN-14-0158)

*Abstracts*

Klekociuk, S.. & Summers, M.J. (2012). Longitudinal deficits to executive functioning: 12 month follow up of mild cognitive impairment subtypes. *The Abstracts of the 18<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the APS College of Clinical Neuropsychologists; Combined Abstracts of 2012 Psychology Conferences*, p.178.

Klekociuk, S.Z. & Summers, M.J. (2012). Longitudinal profiling of mild cognitive impairment subtypes. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, 8 (4), Suppl 1, P555.

## Papers and Poster Presented at Conferences

### **2012:**

Klekociuk, S.K., & Summers, M.J. (2012). *Longitudinal profiling of mild cognitive impairment subtypes*. Poster presented at the Alzheimer's Association International Conference, 14-19 July 2012, Vancouver, British Columbia

Klekociuk, S.K., & Summers, M.J. (2012). *Differential working memory function in mild cognitive impairment subtypes*. Platform paper presented at the 18<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the Australian Psychological Society College of Clinical Neuropsychologists, 22-25 November 2012, Launceston, Tasmania.

## **Abstract**

Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) was originally conceptualized as a condition that manifested prior to the onset of clinical dementia, particularly Alzheimer's disease. However, longitudinal studies show that MCI has an unstable course and may lead to various outcomes including dementia, but also stability of cognitive deficits or recovery to age appropriate levels of functioning. As a result, the status of MCI as a genuine diagnostic entity remains questionable. The aim of the present thesis was to examine the validity of the MCI concept by tracking groups of individuals classified into one of the MCI subtypes and to monitor their neuropsychological profiles over time. To avoid previous criticisms of circularity, participants were classified as MCI on a neuropsychological test battery and then reassessed longitudinally using an alternate battery of neuropsychological tests. At each stage of testing, participants were assessed on a comprehensive neuropsychological test battery tapping the cognitive domains implicated in MCI. Findings from this thesis indicate that multiple domain amnesic MCI may be the most valid subtype of MCI due to consistently poor performance over time on a range of neuropsychological measures. Results also demonstrate that those who are likely to remain on the MCI spectrum can be differentiated from healthy older adults using reliable and valid measures of sustained attention, semantic memory, verbal episodic memory, visual and verbal working memory, selective attention and strategy use. Despite these findings, evidence from this thesis indicates that existing MCI clinical criteria lack sufficient sensitivity and specificity. Although the concept of MCI remains useful, it cannot be considered a clinical diagnostic entity. Future research should prioritize the observation of those presenting with a multiple domain amnesic profile as these individuals may have the poorest prognosis. Further, studies must utilize comprehensive testing protocols to increase the sensitivity and specificity of identifying those with genuine subclinical impairments.

## Acknowledgements

To my supervisor, Mat: I cannot thank you enough for your support during my candidature. I have so much respect for the way that you work as a researcher, as an academic, and as a psychologist. You were a big motivator for me to undertake this journey and I've had a great time working with you (even during those tough times!). You've always given me your time so generously; for personal chats and endless discussions about research, academia, and teaching. And while I did have to put up with your constant barrage of poor dad jokes and digs about my technology choices, I'd say that it was all worth it. I am forever grateful for your honesty, guidance, and friendship.

I would also like to thank my office buddies Mai and Megan for always being there to chat, to whinge about marking, or provide me with creative ways to procrastinate. To my co-supervisors, Jeff and James, thank you for your support. The psychology lads; Pete, Matt, and Douglas: You've taught me so much about the crazy world of academia, I am eternally grateful. Thanks for your encouragement throughout my PhD, your support with teaching, as well as your regular political counsel over coffee and cake. Thanks must also go to Anthea for her admin support.

To my beautiful family: You have always been so supportive of my endeavours and this huge undertaking was no exception. Thank you for always being there for me. To my mum Merrill, and sister Hannah; you are the two most special women in my life. I could not have conquered this beast without you! To my dearest partner, Dave, who put up with me during this crazy time; thank you for your kindness, your patience, and enduring love.

My sincere thanks must go to UTAS, the Australian Government, and the Wicking Dementia Research and Education Centre for their financial support during my candidature. Of course, none of this would have been possible had it not been for the dedicated participants and family members involved. I have learnt so much about aging gracefully (and disgracefully!). I will remember our sessions with great fondness, thank you.

## Table of Contents

<b>Declaration of Originality .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Statement of Authority of Access.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Statement regarding published work contained in this theses .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Statement of Co-authorship .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Statement of Ethical Conduct .....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Publications from thesis.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Papers and Poster Presented at Conferences .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>Acknowledgements.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>Chapter 1 .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Alzheimer’s Disease: Neuropathology and Neuropsychology.....</b>	<b>1</b>
Neuropathology of Early AD .....	2
Episodic Memory in Early AD .....	3
Attention in Early AD .....	4
Working Memory in Early AD .....	5
Executive Function in Early AD .....	6
Semantic Memory in Early AD .....	6
<b>Pre-dementia Syndromes.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Mild Cognitive Impairment .....</b>	<b>8</b>
MCI Criteria .....	9
Revised criteria .....	9
National Institute on Aging recommendations .....	10
<b>The Neuropathological and Neuropsychological Profile of MCI .....</b>	<b>12</b>
Neuropathology of MCI.....	12
Episodic Memory Function in MCI .....	13
Attention function in MCI.....	15
Working Memory Function in MCI.....	17
Executive Function in MCI.....	17
Semantic Memory Function in MCI .....	19
<b>Genetics and MCI .....</b>	<b>19</b>

<b>Current Issues in MCI Research .....</b>	<b>20</b>
The Validity of the MCI Concept and MCI Subtypes .....	21
The Stability of MCI .....	22
Lack of Comprehensive Neuropsychological Assessment among MCI cohorts .....	31
Circular Reasoning .....	33
<b>Aim of the Present Thesis and Outline of Chapters.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Chapter 2 .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>General Methodology .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Recruitment .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Pre-screening .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Screening .....</b>	<b>40</b>
Participants .....	40
Materials .....	45
Procedure .....	53
<b>Experimental stages .....</b>	<b>54</b>
Participants .....	55
Materials .....	55
Procedure .....	65
<b>Chapter 3 .....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>Screening Assessment .....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>Statistical analysis .....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Results .....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>76</b>
a-MCI .....	76
na-MCI .....	77
a-MCI+ .....	78
Control .....	78
<b>Chapter 4 .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>The self-fulfilling prophecy of episodic memory impairment in mild cognitive impairment (MCI): Do episodic memory deficits identified at classification remain evident when later examined with different memory tests? .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Abstract .....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>Method .....</b>	<b>83</b>
Study population .....	83
Materials .....	86

Procedure .....	87
<b>Results</b> .....	<b>88</b>
<b>Discussion</b> .....	<b>92</b>
<b>Chapter 5</b> .....	<b>96</b>
<b>Lowered performance in working memory and attentional sub-processes are most prominent in multi-domain amnesic mild cognitive impairment subtypes</b> .....	<b>96</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>97</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>98</b>
<b>Method</b> .....	<b>100</b>
Study population .....	100
Materials.....	102
Procedure .....	104
<b>Results</b> .....	<b>104</b>
<b>Discussion</b> .....	<b>113</b>
<b>Chapter 6</b> .....	<b>118</b>
<b>Exploring the validity of Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) subtypes: Multiple-domain amnesic MCI is the only identifiable subtype at longitudinal follow up</b> .....	<b>118</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>119</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>120</b>
<b>Method</b> .....	<b>122</b>
Participants.....	122
Materials.....	127
Procedure .....	128
<b>Results</b> .....	<b>128</b>
Data analysis .....	128
Demographics .....	129
Verbal and Visual Episodic Memory .....	131
Attention Measures .....	133
Immediate Memory Measures .....	135
Working Memory Measures .....	138
Language.....	138
<b>Discussion</b> .....	<b>138</b>
<b>Chapter 7</b> .....	<b>143</b>
<b>The learning profile of persistent Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI): A potential diagnostic marker of persistent amnesic MCI</b> .....	<b>143</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>144</b>



<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Method .....</b>	<b>148</b>
Participants.....	148
Materials.....	153
Procedure .....	154
<b>Results .....</b>	<b>155</b>
Data analysis .....	155
<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>Chapter 8 .....</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>Reducing false positive MCI diagnoses with neuropsychological assessment.....</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>Method .....</b>	<b>169</b>
Participants.....	169
Materials.....	174
DNA extraction .....	175
PCR .....	175
Gel electrophoresis.....	176
Procedure .....	176
<b>Results .....</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>Chapter 9 .....</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>Chapter 4 Discussion.....</b>	<b>187</b>
Chapter 4 Key findings .....	187
<b>Chapter 5 Discussion.....</b>	<b>188</b>
Chapter 5 Key findings .....	189
<b>Chapter 6 Discussion.....</b>	<b>190</b>
Chapter 6 Key findings .....	191
<b>Chapter 7 Discussion.....</b>	<b>192</b>
Chapter 7 Key findings .....	192
<b>Chapter 8 Discussion.....</b>	<b>193</b>
Chapter 8 Key findings .....	194
<b>General discussion.....</b>	<b>195</b>
MCI is an unstable condition and should not be considered a diagnostic entity .....	195
The a-MCI+ profile is a better representation of the MCI condition.....	197
<b>Limitations .....</b>	<b>199</b>

Follow up/study duration .....	199
Circular reasoning and classification stability .....	199
MCI subtypes .....	200
Conversion to Dementia.....	201
Community versus clinic samples.....	201
Single test failure for MCI classification .....	202
Cut off scores to define subclinical impairment .....	203
Group based assessment versus individual assessment .....	204
<b>Implications .....</b>	<b>204</b>
Comprehensive test protocols .....	205
Use of the term ‘mild’ .....	206
<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>References .....</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>Appendix A - Recruitment poster and pamphlet.....</b>	<b>222</b>
<b>Appendix B - Information Sheets and Consent forms.....</b>	<b>223</b>
<b>Appendix C - Record forms .....</b>	<b>224</b>
<b>Appendix D - Data analyses for Chapters 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 &amp; 8 .....</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>Appendix E - Klekociuk &amp; Summers (2013) reprint .....</b>	<b>226</b>
<b>Appendix F - Klekociuk &amp; Summers (2014a) reprint .....</b>	<b>234</b>
<b>Appendix G - Klekociuk &amp; Summers (2014b) reprint .....</b>	<b>244</b>
<b>Appendix H - Klekociuk &amp; Summers (2014c) reprint.....</b>	<b>258</b>
<b>Appendix I - Manuscript submission for chapter 8.....</b>	<b>269</b>